arXiv:1202.2940v1 [math.AG] 14 Feb 2012

Reconstruction of a variety from $\mathscr{O}[[\hbar]]$-modules

By

Hou-Yi Chen

Abstract

We prove that varieties are uniquely determined by the derived category of $\mathscr{O}[[\hbar]]$-modules with coherent cohomology which is the same as $\mathscr{O}$-modules proved by A. Bondal and D. Orlov. We also generalize a theorem of Orlov.

1. Introduction

Let X be a smooth projective algebraic variety. In [BO], A. Bondal and D. Orlov prove that X can be reconstructed from its bounded derived category with coherent cohomology when it has ample or anti-ample canonical bundle. The point of this reconstruction uses the facts that the Serre functor corresponds to an ample or anti-ample line bundle (together with a shift).

More precisely, let X, Y be smooth projective varieties, we denote by $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{O}_X)$ (resp. $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{O}_Y)$) the bounded derived category with coherent cohomology sheaves on X (resp. Y). Then we have the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. (Bondal-Orlov [BO]) *Let X and Y be two smooth projective varieties and assume that the (anti)-canonical bundle of X is ample. If there exists an exact equivalence $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{O}_X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{O}_Y)$, then $X \simeq Y$. In particular, the (anti)-canonical bundle of Y is also ample.*

In this paper, we are interested in the study of modules over the ring $\mathscr{O}^{\hbar}_X := \mathscr{O}_X[[\hbar]]$ with formal parameter $\hbar$ and consider the same question as Theorem 1.1. Such modules naturally appear when studying deformation quantization modules (DQ-modules) in [KS]. We obtain the same result for $\mathscr{O}^{\hbar}_X$-modules. More precisely, we prove that

Theorem 1.2. *Let X and Y be two smooth projective varieties over complex number field and assume that the (anti)-canonical bundle of X is ample. If there exists an exact equivalence $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{O}^{\hbar}_X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{O}^{\hbar}_Y)$, then $X \simeq Y$. In particular, the (anti)-canonical bundle of Y is also ample.*

The method of the proof of Theorem 1.2 is the same as Theorem 1.1 in [H] and the key point is the existence of the Serre functor.

Recall that for smooth varieties X, Y and $\mathscr{E} \in \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{O}_{X\times Y})$, we have the following Fourier-Mukai transform

$$\Phi_{\mathscr{E}}(-) := \mathrm{R}p_2(\mathscr{E} \overset{\mathrm{L}}{\otimes} p_1^*(-)) : \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{O}_X) \to \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{O}_Y)$$

where p_i denote by projection for $i = 1, 2$.

The following theorem is proved by D. Orlov in [O] :

Theorem 1.3. *Let X and Y be smooth complex projective varieties and let $F : \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{O}_X) \to \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{O}_Y)$ be an equivalence of categories. Then F is the Fourier-Mukai transform i.e., there exists $\mathscr{E} \in \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{O}_{X\times Y})$ such that $F \simeq \Phi_{\mathscr{E}}$.*

In this paper, we prove the similar result to Theorem 1.3 as follows:

Theorem 1.4. *Let X and Y be projective varieties and let $K : \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{O}^{\hbar}_X) \to \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{O}^{\hbar}_Y)$ be an equivalence of categories. Then K is the Fourier-Mukai transform i.e., there exists $\mathscr{E}^{\mathrm{R}\hbar} \in \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{O}^{\hbar}_{X\times Y})$ such that $F \simeq \Phi_{\mathscr{E}^{\hbar}}$.*

In section 2, we review some results about DQ-modules in [DGS] and [KS]. We establish a fully faithful functor between the derived category of algebraic $\mathscr{O}^{\hbar}_X$-modules and the derived category of analytic $\mathscr{O}^{\hbar}_{X_{\mathrm{an}}}$-modules in section 3. Section 4 contains some results about the triangulated category $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{O}_X)$ in [H]. Finally, we prove the Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.4 in section 5 and section 6, respectively.

Note: in this paper, we assume that varieties are smooth over complex number field $\mathbb{C}$.

Notations

Let $(X, \mathscr{A}_X)$ be a topological space endowed with a sheaf of algebras or DQ-algebroid $\mathscr{A}_X$ over $\mathbb{C}^{\hbar} := \mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$.

We denote by

(1.1) $\mathrm{Mod}(\mathscr{A}_X)$: the abelian category of $\mathscr{A}_X$-modules,

(1.2) $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{A}_X)$: the abelian thick full subcategory of $\mathrm{Mod}(\mathscr{A}_X)$ consisting of coherent $\mathscr{A}_X$-modules,

(1.3) $\mathrm{D}(\mathscr{A}_X)$: the derived category of $\mathrm{Mod}(\mathscr{A}_X)$,

(1.4) $\mathrm{D}^+(\mathscr{A}_X)$: the full triangulated subcategory consisting of objects with bounded from below cohomology,

(1.5) $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathscr{A}_X)$: the full triangulated subcategory consisting of objects with bounded cohomology,

(1.6) $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{A}_X)$ (resp. $\mathrm{D}^{+}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{A}_X)$): the full triangulated subcategory of $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathscr{A}_X)$ (resp. $\mathrm{D}^+(\mathscr{A}_X)$) consisting of complexes with cohomology sheaves belonging to $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{A}_X)$.

2. Review on DQ-modules (after [KS] and [DGS])

In this section, we review some definitions and results in [KS] and [DGS] which we will use in this paper.

Modules over formal deformations

Let X be a topological space, $\mathscr{A}$ a sheaf of $\mathbb{Z}[\hbar]$-algebra on X and $\hbar$ a section of $\mathscr{A}$ contained in the center of $\mathscr{A}$. Let $\mathscr{M} \in \mathrm{Mod}(\mathscr{A})$. Set

$$\widehat{\mathscr{M}} := \varprojlim_{n} \mathscr{M}/\hbar^n\mathscr{M}.$$

One says that $\mathscr{M}$ has no $\hbar$-torsion if $\hbar : \mathscr{M} \to \mathscr{M}$ is injective and $\mathscr{M}$ is $\hbar$-complete if $\mathscr{M} \to \widehat{\mathscr{M}}$ is an isomorphism.

Set

$$\mathscr{A}^{\mathrm{loc}} := \mathbb{Z}[\hbar, \hbar^{-1}] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\hbar]} \mathscr{A} \text{ and } \mathscr{A}_0 := \mathscr{A}/\hbar\mathscr{A}.$$

We assume the following conditions:

(i) $\mathscr{A}$ has no $\hbar$-torsion and is $\hbar$ complete,

(ii) $\mathscr{A}_0$ is a left Noetherian ring,

(iii) there exists a base $\mathfrak{B}$ of open subsets of X such that for any $U \in \mathfrak{B}$ and any coherent $(\mathscr{A}_0|_U)$-module $\mathscr{M}$, we have $H^n(U; \mathscr{F}) = 0$ for any $n > 0$.

Consider the functor

$$\mathrm{gr}_\hbar : \mathrm{D}(\mathscr{A}) \to \mathrm{D}(\mathscr{A}_0),\ \mathscr{M} \to \mathrm{gr}_\hbar(\mathscr{M}) := \mathscr{A}_0 \overset{\mathrm{L}}{\otimes}_{\mathscr{A}} \mathscr{M}.$$

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\mathscr{M} \in \mathrm{D}(\mathscr{A})$ and let $a \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then we have an exact sequence of $\mathscr{A}_0$-modules*

$$0 \to \mathscr{A}_0 \otimes_{\mathscr{A}} H^a(\mathscr{M}) \to H^a(\mathrm{gr}_\hbar(\mathscr{M})) \to \mathscr{T}\!or_1^{\mathscr{A}}(\mathscr{A}_0, H^{a+1}(\mathscr{M})) \to 0.$$

For $\mathscr{M}, \mathscr{N} \in \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathscr{A})$ and $\mathscr{P} \in \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathscr{A}^{\mathrm{op}})$ one has isomorphisms:

$$\mathrm{gr}_\hbar(\mathscr{P} \overset{\mathrm{L}}{\otimes}_{\mathscr{A}} \mathscr{M}) \simeq \mathrm{gr}_\hbar\mathscr{P} \overset{\mathrm{L}}{\otimes}_{\mathscr{A}_0} \mathrm{gr}_\hbar\mathscr{M}, \tag{2.1}$$

$$\mathrm{gr}_\hbar(\mathrm{R}\mathscr{H}\!om_{\mathscr{A}}(\mathscr{M}, \mathscr{N})) \simeq \mathrm{R}\mathscr{H}\!om_{\mathscr{A}_0}(\mathrm{gr}_\hbar(\mathscr{M}), \mathrm{gr}_\hbar(\mathscr{N})). \tag{2.2}$$

Cohomologically $\hbar$-complete modules

Definition 2.2. One says that an object $\mathscr{M}$ of $\mathrm{D}(\mathscr{A})$ is cohomologically $\hbar$-complete if $\mathrm{R}\mathscr{H}\!om_{\mathscr{A}}(\mathscr{A}^{\mathrm{loc}}, \mathscr{M}) = 0$.

We denote by $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{cc}}(\mathscr{A})$ the full triangulated subcategory of $\mathrm{D}(\mathscr{A})$ consisting of cohomologically $\hbar$-complete modules.

Note that $\mathscr{M} \in \mathrm{D}(\mathscr{A})$ is cohomologically $\hbar$-complete if and only if its image in $\mathrm{D}(\mathbb{Z}_X[\hbar])$ is cohomologically $\hbar$-complete.

The functor $\mathrm{gr}_\hbar$ is conservative on $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{cc}}(\mathscr{A})$.

Proposition 2.3. *Let* $\mathscr{M} \in \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{cc}}(\mathscr{A})$*. If* $\mathrm{gr}_\hbar(\mathscr{M}) = 0$*, then* $\mathscr{M} = 0$*.*

The following is the corollary of Proposition 2.3.

Corollary 2.4. *Let* $f : \mathscr{M} \to \mathscr{N}$ *be a morphism in* $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{cc}}(\mathscr{A})$*. If* $\mathrm{gr}_\hbar(f)$ *is an isomorphism then* f *is an isomorphism, i.e. the functor* $\mathrm{gr}_\hbar$ *is conservative on* $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{cc}}(\mathscr{A})$*.*

Note that if $\mathscr{M} \in \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{A})$, then $\mathscr{M} \in \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{cc}}(\mathscr{A})$.

Corollary 2.5. *The functor*

$$\mathrm{gr}_\hbar : \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{A}) \to \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{A}_0) \tag{2.3}$$

is conservative.

Theorem 2.6. *Let* $\mathscr{M} \in \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{A})$ *and let* $a \in \mathbb{Z}$*. The conditions below are equivalent:*

(i) $H^a(\mathrm{gr}_\hbar \mathscr{M}) \simeq 0$*,*

(ii) $H^a(\mathscr{M}) \simeq 0$ *and* $H^{a+1}(\mathscr{M})$ *has no* $\hbar$*-torsion.*

Formal extension

Let $\mathscr{R}_0$ be a sheaf of rings on a topological space X and set

$$\mathscr{R} := \mathscr{R}_0[[\hbar]] = \prod_{n \geq 0} \mathscr{R}_0 \hbar^n$$

be the formal extension of $\mathscr{R}_0$, whose sections on an open subset U are formal series $r = \Sigma_{n=0}^{\infty} r_j \hbar^n$, with $r_j \in \Gamma(U; \mathscr{R}_0)$. Consider the associated functor

$$\begin{gathered} (\cdot)^\hbar : \mathrm{Mod}(\mathscr{R}_0) \to \mathrm{Mod}(\mathscr{R}), \\ \mathscr{N} \mapsto \mathscr{N}[[\hbar]] = \varprojlim_n (\mathscr{R}_n \otimes_{\mathscr{R}_0} \mathscr{N}), \end{gathered}$$

where $\mathscr{R}_n := \mathscr{R}/\hbar^{n+1}\mathscr{R}$ is regarded as an $\mathscr{R}, \mathscr{R}_0)$-bimodule. Since $\mathscr{R}_n$ is free of finite rank over $\mathscr{R}_0$, the functor $(\cdot)^\hbar$ is left exact. We denote by $(\cdot)^{\mathrm{R}\hbar}$ its right derived functor.

Proposition 2.7. *Let* $\mathscr{T}$ *be a basis of open subsets of the site* X *or, assuming that* X *is a locally compact topological space, a basis of compact subsets. Denote by* $J_{\mathscr{T}}$ *the full subcategory of* $\mathrm{Mod}(\mathscr{R}_0)$ *consisting of* $\mathscr{T}$*-acyclic objects, i.e., sheaves* $\mathscr{N}$ *for which* $H^k(S; \mathscr{N}) = 0$ *for all* $k > 0$ *and all* $S \in \mathscr{T}$*. Then* $J_{\mathscr{T}}$ *is injective with respect to the functor* $(\cdot)^\hbar$*. In particular, for* $\mathscr{N} \in J_{\mathscr{T}}$*, we have* $\mathscr{N}^\hbar \simeq \mathscr{N}^{\mathrm{R}\hbar}$*.*

Example 2.8.

(i) Quasi-coherent sheaves on an algebraic variety are acyclic for the functor $(\cdot)^\hbar$. In particular, coherent sheaves on an algebraic variety X are acyclic for the functor $(\cdot)^\hbar$.

(ii) Coherent sheaves on a complex analytic manifolds X are acyclic for the functor $(\cdot)^{\hbar}$.

Assume $\mathscr{R}_0 = \mathscr{A}_0$.

Corollary 2.9. *For* $\mathscr{N} \in \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{A}_0)$*:*

(i) there is an isomorphism $\mathscr{N}^{\mathrm{R}\hbar} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathscr{A} \overset{\mathrm{L}}{\otimes}_{\mathscr{A}_0} \mathscr{N}$,

(ii) there is an isomorphism $\mathrm{gr}_{\hbar}(\mathscr{N}^{\hbar}) \simeq \mathscr{N}$.

In particular, the functor $(\cdot)^{\hbar}$ *is exact on* $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{A}_0)$ *and preserves coherence. One thus get a functor*

$$(\cdot)^{\mathrm{R}\hbar} : \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{A}_0) \to \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{A}). \tag{2.4}$$

DQ-algebroids

Let $(X, \mathscr{A}_X)$ be a variety endowed with a DQ-algebroid $\mathscr{A}_X$. Then we have the $\mathbb{C}$-algebroid stack $\mathrm{gr}_{\hbar}(\mathscr{A}_X)$.

There is a natural functor $\mathscr{A}_X \to \mathrm{gr}_{\hbar}(\mathscr{A}_X)$ of $\mathbb{C}$-algebroid stacks. Since $\mathrm{gr}_{\hbar}\mathscr{A}_X \simeq \mathscr{O}_X$, this functor induces a functor

$$\iota_g : \mathrm{Mod}(\mathscr{O}_X) \to \mathrm{Mod}(\mathscr{A}_X).$$

The functor ι_g admits a left adjoint functor $\mathscr{M} \to \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}^{\hbar}} \mathscr{M}$. The functor ι_g is exact and fully faithful and it induces a functor

$$\iota_g : \mathrm{D}(\mathscr{O}_X) \to \mathrm{D}(\mathscr{A}_X).$$

Moreover, the functor $\mathrm{gr}_{\hbar}$ extends to algebroid stack

$$\mathrm{gr}_{\hbar} : \mathrm{D}(\mathscr{A}_X) \to \mathrm{D}(\mathscr{O}_X).$$

Notice that $\mathscr{O}_X$ can be endowed with a structure of $(\mathscr{A}_X \otimes \mathscr{A}_X^{\mathrm{op}})$-module. Hence we have

$$\mathrm{gr}_{\hbar}(\mathscr{M}) = \mathscr{O}_X \overset{\mathrm{L}}{\otimes}_{\mathscr{A}_X} \mathscr{M} \text{ and } i_g(\mathscr{M}) = {}_{\mathscr{A}_X}\mathscr{O}_X \otimes_{\mathscr{O}_X} \mathscr{M}. \tag{2.5}$$

Proposition 2.10. *The functor* $\mathrm{gr}_{\hbar}$ *and* ι_g *define pairs of adjoint functors* $(\mathrm{gr}_{\hbar}, \iota_g)$ *and* $(\iota_g, \mathrm{gr}_{\hbar}[-1])$.

Let $x \in X$, we denote by $k(x) := \mathbb{C}(x)$ the skyscraper sheaf supported in x with value $\mathbb{C}$.

Proposition 2.11. ([P] Proposition 3.3.1.) *The functor* ι_g *induces a functor*

$$\iota_g : \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{O}_X) \to \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{A}_X).$$

Moreover, if $\mathscr{F} \in \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{O}_X)$*, then* $\mathrm{gr}_{\hbar} i_g \mathscr{F} \simeq \mathscr{F}[1] \oplus \mathscr{F}$*. In particular,* $\mathrm{gr}_{\hbar} i_g k(x) \simeq k(x)[1] \oplus k(x)$.

Definition 2.12. An $\mathscr{A}_X$-modules $\mathscr{L}$ is invertible if it is locally isomorphic to $\mathscr{A}_X$, namely for any $\sigma \in \mathscr{A}$, the $\mathscr{E}nd_{\mathscr{A}_X}(\sigma)$-module $\mathscr{L}(\sigma)$ is locally isomorphic to $\mathscr{E}nd_{\mathscr{A}_X}(\sigma)$.

Definition 2.13. Let A and A' be two sheaves of $\mathbb{C}$-algebras. An $A \otimes A'$-module L is called bi-invertible if there exists locally a section ω of L such that $A \ni a \mapsto (a \otimes 1)u \in L$ and $A' \ni a' \mapsto (1 \otimes a')u \in L$ give isomorphisms of A-modules and A'-modules, respectively.

Definition 2.14. Let Λ be a smooth subvariety of X and let $\mathscr{L}$ be a coherent $\mathscr{A}_X$-module supported by Λ. One says that $\mathscr{L}$ is simple along Λ if $\mathrm{gr}_\hbar(\mathscr{L})$ is concentrated in degree 0 and $H^0(\mathrm{gr}_\hbar(\mathscr{L}))$ is an invertible $\mathscr{O}_\Lambda \otimes_{\mathscr{O}_X} \mathrm{gr}_\hbar(\mathscr{A}_X)$-module.

Denote by $\delta_X : X \hookrightarrow X \times X^a$ the diagonal embedding.

Proposition 2.15. *Let $\mathscr{M}$ be an $(\mathscr{A}_X \otimes \mathscr{A}_{X^a})$-module.*

(a) *The following conditions are equivalent:*

(i) *$\mathscr{M}$ is a bi-invertible $(\mathscr{A}_X \otimes \mathscr{A}_{X^a})$-module (see definition 2.13),*

(ii) *$\mathscr{M}$ is invertible as an $\mathscr{A}_X$-module, that is, $\mathscr{M}$ is locally isomorphic to $\mathscr{A}_X$ as an $\mathscr{A}_X$-module (see definition 2.12),*

(iii) *$\mathscr{M}$ is invertible as an $\mathscr{A}_{X^a}$-module.*

(b) *Under the equivalent condition in (a), $\delta_{X*}\mathscr{M} \longrightarrow \mathscr{A}_{X\times X^a} \otimes_{\mathscr{A}_X \boxtimes \mathscr{A}_{X^a}} \delta_{X*}\mathscr{M}$ is an isomorphism and $\delta_{X*}\mathscr{M}$ has a structure of an $\mathscr{A}_{X\times X^a}$-module along the diagonal of $X \times X^a$.*

(c) *Conversely, if $\mathscr{N}$ is a simple $\mathscr{A}_{X\times X^a}$-module along the diagonal of $X \times X^a$, then $\delta_X^{-1}\mathscr{N}$ satisfies the equivalent conditions (a) (i)-(iii).*

Proposition 2.16. *The category of bi-invertible $(\mathscr{A}_X \otimes \mathscr{A}_{X^a})$-modules is equivalent to the category of coherent $\mathscr{A}_{X\times X^a}$-modules simple along the diagonal.*

Definition 2.17. We regard $\delta_{X*}\mathscr{A}_X$ as an $\mathscr{A}_{X\times X^a}$-module supported by the diagonal and denote it by $\mathscr{C}_X$.

Corollary 2.18. *The $\mathscr{A}_{X\times X^a}$-module $\mathscr{C}_X$ is coherent and simple along the diagonal. Moreover, $\mathscr{A}_{X\times X^a} \otimes_{\mathscr{A}_X \boxtimes \mathscr{A}_{X^a}} \mathscr{C}_X \longrightarrow \mathscr{C}_X$ is an isomorphism in $\mathrm{Mod}(\mathscr{A}_{X\times X^a})$, and $\mathscr{A}_X \longrightarrow \delta_X^{-1}(\mathscr{C}_X)$ is an isomorphism in $\mathrm{Mod}(\mathscr{A}_X \otimes \mathscr{A}_{X^a})$.*

Remark 2.19. The category of bi-invertible $(\mathscr{A}_X \otimes \mathscr{A}_{X^a})$-modules has a structure of a tensor category with unit object $\mathscr{A}_X$.

Corollary 2.20. *For a bi-invertible $(\mathscr{A}_X \otimes \mathscr{A}_{X^a})$-module $\mathscr{P}$, the functor*

$$\mathscr{P} \otimes_{\mathscr{A}_X} (\cdot) : \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{A}_X) \longrightarrow \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{A}_X)$$

is an equivalence with inverse functor

$$\mathscr{P}^{\otimes -1} \otimes_{\mathscr{A}_X} (\cdot) : \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{A}_X) \longrightarrow \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{A}_X).$$

Corollary 2.21. *For a bi-invertible* $(\mathscr{A}_X \otimes \mathscr{A}_{X^a})$*-module* $\mathscr{P}$*,* $\mathrm{gr}_\hbar \mathscr{P}$ *is a line bundle by definition.*

Corollary 2.22.([KS] (2.4.1)) *For* $\mathscr{M}, \mathscr{N} \in \mathrm{D}(\mathscr{A}_X)$ *and a bi-invertible* $(\mathscr{A}_X \otimes \mathscr{A}_{X^a})$*-module* $\mathscr{P}$*, we have the isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}_X}(\mathscr{M}, \mathscr{N}) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}_X}(\mathscr{P} \overset{\mathrm{L}}{\otimes}_{\mathscr{A}_X} \mathscr{M}, \mathscr{P} \overset{\mathrm{L}}{\otimes}_{\mathscr{A}_X} \mathscr{N}). \tag{2.6}$$

Remark 2.23. The above results also valid when replacing $\mathscr{A}_X$ by $\mathscr{O}_X[[\hbar]]$.

3. A fully faithful functor

Let X be a smooth algebraic variety with associated complex manifold X_{an}. We have a natural morphism

$$\iota : X_{\mathrm{an}} \to X \tag{3.1}$$

of topological spaces and a morphism $\iota^{-1}\mathscr{O}_X \to \mathscr{O}_{X_{\mathrm{an}}}$ of sheaves of rings. Hence we get a functor

$$(\cdot)_{\mathrm{an}} : \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{O}_X) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{O}_{X_{\mathrm{an}}})$$

which induces the functor of full triangulated subcategories formed by coherent cohomology (we keep the same notation)

$$(\cdot)_{\mathrm{an}} : \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{O}_X) \longrightarrow \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{O}_{X_{\mathrm{an}}}). \tag{3.2}$$

Set

$$\mathscr{O}^\hbar_X := \mathscr{O}_X[[\hbar]] \text{ and } \mathscr{O}^\hbar_{X_{\mathrm{an}}} := \mathscr{O}_{X_{\mathrm{an}}}[[\hbar]].$$

We also have the following functors induced by (2.3) and (2.4).

$$\mathrm{gr}_\hbar : \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{O}^\hbar_X) \text{ (resp. } \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{O}^\hbar_{X_{\mathrm{an}}})) \longrightarrow \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{O}_X) (\text{resp. } \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{O}_{X_{\mathrm{an}}})) \tag{3.3}$$

and

$$(\cdot)^{\mathrm{R}\hbar} : \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{O}_{X_{\mathrm{an}}}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{O}^\hbar_{X_{\mathrm{an}}}). \tag{3.4}$$

Definition 3.1. We define the functor $(\cdot)^\hbar_{\mathrm{an}}$ to be the compositions of the functors (3.2), (3.3) and (3.4):

$$(\cdot)^\hbar_{\mathrm{an}} : \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{O}^\hbar_X) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{gr}_\hbar} \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{O}_X) \xrightarrow{(\cdot)_{\mathrm{an}}} \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{O}_{X_{\mathrm{an}}}) \xrightarrow{(\cdot)^{\mathrm{R}\hbar}} \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{O}^\hbar_{X_{\mathrm{an}}}). \tag{3.5}$$

Theorem 3.2. *Assume* X *is projective. Then the functor* $(\cdot)^\hbar_{\mathrm{an}}$ *of (3.5) is fully faithful.*

Proof. For $\mathscr{M}, \mathscr{N} \in \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{O}^\hbar_X)$, we have the following natural morphism

$$\mathrm{RHom}_{\mathscr{O}^\hbar_X}(\mathscr{M}, \mathscr{N}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{RHom}_{\mathscr{O}^\hbar_{X_{\mathrm{an}}}}((\mathscr{M})^\hbar_{\mathrm{an}}, (\mathscr{N})^\hbar_{\mathrm{an}}) \tag{3.6}$$

Taking $\mathrm{gr}_\hbar$ to (3.6) and use Serre's GAGA theorem (cf. [C] Corollary 1.4), (2.2) and Corollary 2.9, we get the following isomorphism

$$\mathrm{RHom}_{\mathscr{O}_X}(\mathrm{gr}_\hbar\mathscr{M}, \mathrm{gr}_\hbar\mathscr{N}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{RHom}_{\mathscr{O}_{X_{\mathrm{an}}}}((\mathrm{gr}_\hbar\mathscr{M})_{\mathrm{an}}, (\mathrm{gr}_\hbar\mathscr{N})_{\mathrm{an}}).$$

Since the functor $\mathrm{gr}_\hbar$ is conservative by Corollary 2.5, this implies that (3.6) is an isomorphsm and the resul follows. $\square$

We denote by Ω_X^p (resp. $\Omega_{X_{\mathrm{an}}}^p$) the sheaf of regular (resp. holomorphic) p-forms on X (resp. X_{an}) and set $\Omega_X := \Omega_X^{\dim X}$ (resp. $\Omega_{X_{\mathrm{an}}} := \Omega_{X_{\mathrm{an}}}^{\dim X_{\mathrm{an}}}$) and set $\Omega_X^\hbar := \Omega_X[[\hbar]]$ and $\Omega_{X_{\mathrm{an}}}^\hbar := \Omega_{X_{\mathrm{an}}}[[\hbar]]$. Then we have

Lemma 3.3. *We have* $(\Omega_X^\hbar)_{\mathrm{an}}^\hbar = \Omega_{X_{\mathrm{an}}}^\hbar$.

4. Review on the triangulated category $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{O}_X)$

In this section, we review some results in [H].

Definition 4.1. A collection Ξ of objects in a triangulated category $\mathcal{D}$ is a spanning class of $\mathcal{D}$ if for all $B \in \mathcal{D}$ the following two conditions hold:

(i) If $\mathrm{Hom}(A, B[i]) = 0$ for all $A \in \Xi$ and all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $B \simeq 0$.

(ii) If $\mathrm{Hom}(B[i], A) = 0$ for all $A \in \Xi$ and all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $B \simeq 0$.

Proposition 4.2. *Let X be a projective variety. Then the objects of the form $k(x)$ with $x \in X$ a closed point span the triangulated category $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{O}_X)$.*

Definition 4.3. Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a $\mathbb{C}$-linear triangulated category with a Serre functor S. An object $P \in \mathcal{D}$ is called point like of codimension d if

i) $S(P) \simeq P[d]$

ii) $\mathrm{Hom}(P, P[i]) = 0$ for $i < 0$, and

iii) $k(P) := \mathrm{Hom}(P, P) = \mathbb{C}$.

An object P satisfying iii) is called simple.

Proposition 4.4. *Let X be a projective variety. Suppose $\mathscr{F}$ is a simple object (i.e. $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathscr{F}, \mathscr{F}) = \mathbb{C}$) in $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{O}_X)$ with zero dimensional support. If $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathscr{F}, \mathscr{F}[i]) = 0$ for $i < 0$, then*

$$\mathscr{F} \simeq k(x)[m]$$

for some closed point $x \in X$ and some integer m.

Proposition 4.5. *Let X be a projective variety. Suppose that Ω_X or Ω_X^* is ample. Then the point like objects in $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{O}_X)$ are the objects which are isomorphic to $k(x)[m]$, where $x \in X$ is a closed point and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

Definition 4.6. Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a triangulated category with a Serre functor S. An object $L \in \mathcal{D}$ is called invertible if for any point like object $P \in \mathcal{D}$ there exists $n_P \in \mathbb{Z}$ (depending also on L) such that

$$\mathrm{Hom}(L, P[i]) = \begin{cases} k(P) & \text{if } i = n_P \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proposition 4.7. *Let X be a projective variety. Any invertible object in $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{O}_X)$ is of the form $L[m]$ with L a line bundle on X and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Conversely, if Ω_X or Ω_X^* is ample, then for any line bundle L and any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ the object $L[m] \in \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{O}_X)$ is invertible.*

Lemma 4.8. *Let X be a projective variety, $x \in X$ a closed point, and $\mathscr{F} \in \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{O}_X)$. Suppose $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathscr{F}, k(y)[i]) = 0$ for any closed point $y \neq x$ and any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathscr{F}, k(x)[i]) = 0$ for $i < 0$ or $i > \dim X$. Then $\mathscr{F}$ is isomorphic to a sheaf concentrated in $x \in X$.*

5. Proof of Theorem1.2

In this section, we shall prove Theorem 1.2 as stated in the introduction.

Let $(X, \mathscr{A}_X)$ be a projective variety endowed with a DQ-algebroid $\mathscr{A}_X$. Recall from [C] that the morphism $\iota : X_{\mathrm{an}} \to X$ of (3.1) induces a (analytic) DQ-algebroid $\mathscr{A}_{X_{\mathrm{an}}}$ on X_{an} and the natural functor

$$(\cdot)_{\mathrm{an}} : \mathrm{Mod}(\mathscr{A}_X) \to \mathrm{Mod}(\mathscr{A}_{X_{\mathrm{an}}})$$

induces the following equivalence

$$(\cdot)_{\mathrm{an}} : \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{A}_X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{A}_{X_{\mathrm{an}}}). \tag{5.1}$$

First we show that the existence of the Serre functor on $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{A}_{X_{\mathrm{an}}})$ induces a Serre functor on $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{A}_X)$ by (5.1).

Theorem 5.1. *Let $(X, \mathscr{A}_X)$ be a projective variety endowed with a DQ-algebroid $\mathscr{A}_X$. Then the triangulated category $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{A}_X)$ has a Serre functor defined by $S_X : \mathscr{M} \to \Omega_X^{\mathscr{A}} \otimes_{\mathscr{A}_X} \mathscr{M}[\dim(X)]$ where $\Omega_X^{\mathscr{A}}$ is an invertible $\mathscr{A}_X$-module such that $(\Omega_X^{\mathscr{A}})_{\mathrm{an}} \simeq \Omega^{\mathscr{A}}_{X_{\mathrm{an}}}$ where $\Omega^{\mathscr{A}}_{X_{\mathrm{an}}}$ is defined in [KS] such that $\mathrm{gr}_\hbar \Omega^{\mathscr{A}}_{X_{\mathrm{an}}} \simeq \Omega_{X_{\mathrm{an}}}$.*

Proof. By the equivalence of (5.1), there exists a coherent $\mathscr{A}_X$-module $\Omega_X^{\mathscr{A}}$ such that $(\Omega_X^{\mathscr{A}})_{\mathrm{an}} = \Omega^{\mathscr{A}}_{X_{\mathrm{an}}}$. By [KS] Corollary 3.3.4, we have

$$\mathrm{RHom}_{\mathscr{A}_{X^{\mathrm{an}}}}(\mathscr{N}_{\mathrm{an}}, \Omega^{\mathscr{A}}_{X_{\mathrm{an}}} \overset{\mathrm{L}}{\otimes}_{\mathscr{A}_{X_{\mathrm{an}}}} \mathscr{M}_{\mathrm{an}})[\dim(X)] \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathrm{RHom}_{\mathscr{A}_{X_{\mathrm{an}}}}(\mathscr{M}_{\mathrm{an}}, \mathscr{N}_{\mathrm{an}}))^*.$$

Since

$$\mathrm{RHom}_{\mathscr{A}_X}(\mathscr{N}, \Omega_X^{\mathscr{A}} \overset{\mathrm{L}}{\otimes}_{\mathscr{A}_X} \mathscr{M})[\dim(X)] \simeq \mathrm{RHom}_{\mathscr{A}_{X\mathrm{an}}}(\mathscr{N}_{\mathrm{an}}, \Omega^{\mathscr{A}}_{X_{\mathrm{an}}} \overset{\mathrm{L}}{\otimes}_{\mathscr{A}_{X_{\mathrm{an}}}} \mathscr{M}_{\mathrm{an}})[\dim(X_{\mathrm{an}})]$$

and

$$(\mathrm{RHom}_{\mathscr{A}_X}(\mathscr{M}, \mathscr{N}))^* \simeq (\mathrm{RHom}_{\mathscr{A}_{X_{\mathrm{an}}}}(\mathscr{M}_{\mathrm{an}}, \mathscr{N}_{\mathrm{an}}))^*,$$

the result follows. Since $\mathrm{gr}_\hbar(\Omega_X^{\mathscr{A}})_{\mathrm{an}} = (\mathrm{gr}_\hbar \Omega_X^{\mathscr{A}})_{\mathrm{an}} = \Omega_{X_{\mathrm{an}}}$, $\mathrm{gr}_\hbar \Omega_X^{\mathscr{A}} \simeq \Omega_X$ a locally free $\mathscr{O}_X$-module of rank 1 and hence $\Omega_X^{\mathscr{A}}$ has no $\hbar$-torsion by Theorem 2.6. Hence $\Omega_X^{\mathscr{A}}$ is invertible. $\square$

Corollary 5.2. *Let X be a projective variety. Then the triangulated category $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{O}_X^\hbar)$ has a Serre functor defined by $S_X : \mathscr{M} \to \Omega_X^\hbar \otimes_{\mathscr{O}_X^\hbar} \mathscr{M}[\dim(X)]$ where*

$\Omega^\hbar_X$ *is an invertible* $\mathscr{O}^\hbar_X$*-module such that* $(\Omega^\hbar_X)^\hbar_{\mathrm{an}} \simeq \Omega^\hbar_{X_{\mathrm{an}}}$ *(cf. Lemma 3.3).*

Proof. The proof is the same as Theorem 5.1 and using Theorem 3.2. ☐

We recall the following definition.

Definition 5.3. We say that two functors $F, F' : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ from category $\mathcal{A}$ to $\mathcal{B}$ are isomorphic if there exists a morphism of functors $\varphi : F \to F'$ such that for any object $A \in \mathcal{A}$ the induced morphism $\varphi_A : F(A) \to F'(A)$ is an isomorphism in $\mathcal{B}$.

Proposition 5.4. *Let* X *and* Y *be projective varieties. Assume* $F : \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{A}_X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{A}_Y)$ *is an equivalence. Let* S_X *(resp.* S_Y*) be the Serre functor of* X *(resp.* Y*) in Theorem 5.1. Then there exists an isomorphism*

$$F \circ S_X \simeq S_Y \circ F.$$

Proof. Since F is fully faithful, one has for any two objects $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$

$$\mathrm{RHom}(A, S_X(B)) \simeq \mathrm{RHom}(F(A), F(S_X B)) \text{ and}$$
$$\mathrm{RHom}(B, A) \simeq \mathrm{RHom}(F(B), F(A)).$$

Together with the two isomorphisms

$$\mathrm{RHom}(A, S_X(B)) \simeq \mathrm{RHom}(B, A)^* \text{ and}$$
$$\mathrm{RHom}(F(B), F(A)) \simeq \mathrm{RHom}(F(A), S_Y F(B))^*,$$

This yields a functorial isomorphism

$$\mathrm{RHom}(F(A), F S_X(B)) \simeq \mathrm{RHom}(F(A), S_Y F(B)).$$

Using the hypothesis that F is an equivalence and in particular that any object in $\mathcal{B}$ is isomorphic to some $F(A)$ one concludes that there exists a functor isomorphism $F \circ \mathcal{S}_X \simeq S_Y \circ F$. ☐

Lemma 5.5. *Let* X *be a projective variety. Then the objects of the form* $i_g k(x)$ *with* $x \in X$ *a closed point span the triangulated category* $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{A}_X)$*.*

Proof. First we prove the condition (i) in Definition 4.1: $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}_X}(\mathscr{M}, i_g k(x)) = 0$, then we get

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}_X}(\mathscr{M}, i_g k(x)) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{O}_X}(\mathrm{gr}_\hbar \mathscr{M}, k(x)) = 0 \text{ for all } x \in X.$$

Since $k(x)$ is a spanning class of $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{O}_X)$ by Proposition 4.2, we get $\mathrm{gr}_\hbar \mathscr{M} \simeq 0$ which implies $\mathscr{M} \simeq 0$ by Proposition 2.2. The condition (ii) is similar to (i) by Proposition 2.10. ☐

Proposition 5.6. *We have* $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}_X}(i_g k(x), i_g k(x)) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{O}_X}(\mathrm{gr}_\hbar i_g k(x), k(x)) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{O}_X}(k(x), \mathrm{gr}_\hbar i_g k(x)[-1]) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{O}_X}(k(x), k(x)) \simeq \mathbb{C}$.

Proof. Consider the following distinguished triangle

$$k(x)[1] \longrightarrow k(x)[1] \oplus k(x) \longrightarrow k(x) \xrightarrow{0} k(x)[2]$$

which induces the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(k(x), k(x)) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(k(x)[1] \oplus k(x), k(x)) \longrightarrow 0$$

since $\mathrm{Hom}(k(x)[i], k(x)) = 0$ for $i > 0$. This implies that $\mathrm{Hom}(k(x)[1] \oplus k(x), k(x)) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}(k(x), k(x)) \simeq \mathbb{C}$.

Similarly, $\mathrm{Hom}(k(x), k(x)[-1] \oplus k(x)) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}(k(x), k(x)) \simeq \mathbb{C}$.

Now the results follow from Proposition 2.10 and Proposition 2.11. ☐

Proposition 5.7. *Let X and Y be projective varieties. Assume $F : \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{A}_X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{A}_Y)$ is an equivalence, then $\mathrm{dim}X = \mathrm{dim}Y$.*

Proof. First we show that $i_gk(x) \simeq i_gk(x) \otimes \Omega_X^{\mathscr{A}} \simeq S_X(i_gk(x))[-\mathrm{dim}X]$: $\mathrm{Hom}(i_gk(x)\otimes\Omega_X^{\mathscr{A}}, i_gk(x)) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{gr}_\hbar(i_gk(x)\otimes\Omega_X^{\mathscr{A}}), k(x)) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{gr}_\hbar(i_gk(x))\otimes \mathrm{gr}_\hbar\Omega_X^{\mathscr{A}}, k(x)) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}((k(x)[1]\oplus k(x))\otimes\Omega_X, k(x)) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}(k(x)[1]\oplus k(x), k(x)) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}(k(x)[1], k(x))\oplus\mathrm{Hom}(k(x), k(x)) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}(k(x), k(x)) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}(i_gk(x), i_gk(x))$ since $i_g : \mathrm{Mod}(\mathscr{O}_X) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Mod}(\mathscr{A}_X)$ is fully faithful. Hence for a nonzero morphism $f : i_gk(x) \otimes \Omega_X^{\mathscr{A}} \longrightarrow i_gk(x)$ there exists $g : i_gk(x) \longrightarrow i_gk(x) \otimes \Omega_X^{\mathscr{A}}$ such that $f \circ g = id_{i_gk(x)}$.

Similarly, $\mathrm{Hom}(i_gk(x), i_gk(x) \otimes \Omega_X^{\mathscr{A}}) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}(k(x), \mathrm{gr}_\hbar(i_gk(x)[-1] \otimes \Omega_X^{\mathscr{A}})) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}(k(x), (k(x)\oplus k(x)[-1])\otimes\Omega_X) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}((k(x), k(x)) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}(i_gk(x), i_gk(x)) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}(i_gk(x) \otimes \Omega_X^{\mathscr{A}}, i_gk(x) \otimes \Omega_X^{\mathscr{A}})$ because $\Omega_X^{\mathscr{A}}$ by Corollary 2.22. Hence, there exists $h : i_gk(x) \otimes \Omega_X^{\mathscr{A}} \longrightarrow i_gk(x)$ such that $g \circ h = id_{i_gk(x)\otimes\Omega_X^{\mathscr{A}}}$.

Hence we get $i_gk(x) \otimes \Omega_X^{\mathscr{A}} \simeq i_gk(x)$.

Now $F(i_gk(x)) \simeq F(i_gk(x)\otimes\Omega_X^{\mathscr{A}})= F(S_X(i_gk(x))[-\mathrm{dim}X]) = S_YF(i_gk(x))$ $[-\mathrm{dim}X])= F(i_gk(x)) \otimes \Omega_Y^{\mathscr{A}}[\mathrm{dim}Y - \mathrm{dim}X]$.

Taking grade functor, we get $\mathrm{gr}_\hbar F(i_gk(x)) \simeq \mathrm{gr}_\hbar F(i_gk(x)) \otimes \Omega_Y[\mathrm{dim}Y - \mathrm{dim}X]$ which implies $\mathrm{dim}X = \mathrm{dim}Y$ since $\mathrm{gr}_\hbar F(i_gk(x)) \neq 0$. ☐

Definition 5.8. *Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a $\mathbb{C}^\hbar$-linear triangulated category with a Serre functor S. An object $P \in \mathcal{D}$ is called point like of codimension d if*

i) $S(P) \simeq S[d]$

ii) $\mathrm{Hom}(P, P[i]) = 0$ for $i < 0$, and

iii) $k(P) := \mathrm{Hom}(P, P) = \mathbb{C}$.

An object P satisfying iii) *is called simple.*

Example 5.9. *For $x \in X$, $i_gk(x)$ is a point like of codimension* $\mathrm{dim}X = n$ *in* $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{A}_X)$.

i) $S(i_gk(x)) \simeq i_gk(x)[n]$,

ii) $\mathrm{Hom}(i_gk(x), i_gk(x)[i]) = 0$ for $i < 0$,

iii) $k(i_gk(x)) := \mathrm{Hom}(i_gk(x), i_gk(x)) \simeq \mathbb{C}$ by Propostion 5.6.

Lemma 5.10. *Let X be a topological space with sheaf of rings $\mathscr{R}_X$. Suppose $\mathscr{M} \in \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{R}_X)$ and supp($\mathscr{M}$) $= Z_1 \cup Z_2$, where $Z_1, Z_2 \subset X$ are disjoint closed subsets. Then $\mathscr{M} = \mathscr{M}_1 \oplus \mathscr{M}_2$ with supp$\mathscr{M}_j \subset Z_j$ for $j = 1, 2$. In particular, the same result holds when replacing the sheaf of rings $\mathscr{R}_X$ by the DQ-algebroid* *stack $\mathscr{A}_X$.*

Proof. We have the following distinguished triangles:

$$R\Gamma_{Z_1\cap Z_2}(\mathscr{M}) \longrightarrow R\Gamma_{Z_1}(\mathscr{M}) \oplus R\Gamma_{Z_2}(\mathscr{M}) \longrightarrow R\Gamma_{Z_1\cup Z_2}(\mathscr{M}) \xrightarrow{+1} .$$

Since $R\Gamma_{Z_1\cap Z_2}(\mathscr{M}) = 0$ and $R\Gamma_{Z_1\cup Z_2}(\mathscr{M}) = \mathscr{M}$, we get

$$\mathscr{M} \simeq R\Gamma_{Z_1}(\mathscr{M}) \oplus R\Gamma_{Z_2}(\mathscr{M}).$$

It remains to prove that $R\Gamma_{Z_1}(\mathscr{M})$ and $R\Gamma_{Z_2}(\mathscr{M})$ are coherent. We have the following two exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H^i_{Z_1}(\mathscr{M}) \longrightarrow H^i(\mathscr{M}) \longrightarrow H^i_{Z_2}(\mathscr{M}) \longrightarrow 0$$

$$0 \longrightarrow H^i_{Z_2}(\mathscr{M}) \longrightarrow H^i(\mathscr{M}) \longrightarrow H^i_{Z_1}(\mathscr{M}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since $H^i(\mathscr{M})$ is coherent, we get $H^i_{Z_1}(\mathscr{M})$ and $H^i_{Z_2}(\mathscr{M})$ are coherent. □

Lemma 5.11. *Suppose $\mathscr{M}$ is a simple object in $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{A}_X)$ with $\hbar H^i\mathscr{M} = 0$ and zero dimensional support. If $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}_X}(\mathscr{M}, \mathscr{M}[i]) = 0$ for $i < 0$, then $\mathscr{M} \simeq i_g k(x)[m]$ for some closed point $x \in X$ and some integer m.*

Proof. Let us show that $\mathscr{M}$ is concentrated in exactly one closed point. Otherwise $\mathscr{M}$ could be written as direct sum $\mathscr{M} \simeq \mathscr{M}_1 \oplus \mathscr{M}_2$ with $\mathscr{M}_j \not\simeq 0$, $j = 1, 2$ by Lemma 5.10. However, a direct sum of two non-trivial complexes is never simple. Indeed, the projection to one of the two summands is not invertible.

Thus, we may assume that the support of all cohomology sheaves $\mathscr{H}^i$ of $\mathscr{M}$ consists of the same closed point $x \in X$. Set

$$m_0 := \max\{i \mid H^i \neq 0\} \text{ and } m_1 := \min\{i \mid H^i \neq 0\}.$$

Since both modules $\mathscr{H}^{m_0} = i_g\mathscr{F}_0$ and $\mathscr{H}^{m_1} = i_g\mathscr{F}_1$ are concentrated in $x \in X$ and there exists non-trivial homomorphism $\mathscr{F}_0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{F}_1$, hence there exitst non-trivial homomorphism $\mathscr{H}^{m_0} \longrightarrow \mathscr{H}^{m_1}$ since i_g is fully faithful. Now the composition

$$\mathscr{M}[m_0] \longrightarrow \mathscr{H}^{m_0} \longrightarrow \mathscr{H}^{m_1} \longrightarrow \mathscr{M}[m_1]$$

is nontrivial. This shows $m_0 = m_1$ by Definition 5.8 (ii). Hence $\mathscr{M} = \mathscr{N}[m]$ with $\mathscr{N} = i_g\mathscr{F}$ for some coherent $\mathscr{O}_X$-module $\mathscr{F}$ supported in x and $m = m_0 = m_1$. Since $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}_X}(i_g\mathscr{F}, i_g\mathscr{F}) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{O}_X}(\mathrm{gr}_\hbar i_g\mathscr{F}, \mathscr{F}) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{O}_X}(\mathscr{F}, \mathscr{F}) = \mathbb{C}$, this implies that $\mathscr{F} = k(x)$ by Proposition 4.4. □

Proposition 5.12. *Let X be a projective variety. Suppose that Ω_X or Ω_X^* is ample. Then the point like objects in $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{A}_X)$ are the objects which are isomorphic to $i_g k(x)[m]$, where $x \in X$ is a closed point and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. Let $\mathscr{P}$ be a point like object in $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{A}_X)$. Then $\hbar : \mathscr{P} \longrightarrow \mathscr{P}$ is zero. Indeed, if $\hbar : \mathscr{P} \longrightarrow \mathscr{P}$ is not zero then it is an isomorphism since $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathscr{P}, \mathscr{P})$ is a field, then from the distinguished triangle

$$\mathscr{P} \xrightarrow{\hbar} \mathscr{P} \longrightarrow \mathrm{gr}_\hbar\mathscr{P} \xrightarrow{+1}$$

we have $\mathrm{gr}_\hbar \mathscr{P} = 0$ which implies that $\mathscr{P} = 0$ a contradiction. Hence we get $\hbar H^j \mathscr{P} = 0$ for each j and $H^j \mathscr{P} = i_g \mathscr{F}$ for some coherent $\mathscr{O}_X$-module $\mathscr{F}$. Since $\mathscr{P} \otimes \Omega_X^{\mathscr{A}} \simeq \mathscr{P}$, $\mathrm{gr}_\hbar \mathscr{P} \otimes \Omega_X \simeq \mathrm{gr}_\hbar \mathscr{P}$. Hence $\mathrm{dimsupp} \mathscr{P} = 0$ since $\mathrm{supp} \mathscr{P} = \mathrm{suppgr}_\hbar \mathscr{P}$. Hence by Lemma 5.11 we have $\mathscr{P} = i_g k(x)[m]$.

□

Lemma 5.13. *Suppose that* $F : \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{A}_X) \longrightarrow \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{A}_Y)$ *is an exact equivalence with* Ω_X *an (anti)-ample line bundle. Then there exists a bijection between point like objects on* X *and point like objects on* Y *and invertible modules on* X *and invertible modules on* Y *up to a shift functor.*

Proof. First it is easy check that there is a bijection between point like objects and point like objects.

Next we shall prove that point like objects in $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{A}_Y)$ are of the form $i_g k(y)[m]$ for some $y \in Y$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Since F is an equivalence, for any closed point $y \in Y$ there exists a closed point $x_y \in X$ and an integer m_y such that $i_g k(y) \simeq F(i_g k(x_y)[m_y])$.

Suppose there exists a point like object $P \in \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{A}_Y)$ which is not of the form $i_g k(y)[m]$ and denote by $x_P \in X$ the closed point with $F(i_g k(x_P)[m_P]) \simeq P$ for a certain $m_P \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Note that $x_P \neq x_y$ for all $y \in Y$. Hence we have for all $y \in Y$ and all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$\mathrm{Hom}(P, i_g k(y)[m]) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}(F(i_g k(x_P))[m_P], F(i_g k(x_y))[m_y + m]) \simeq \\ \mathrm{Hom}(i_g k(x_P), i_g k(x_y)[m_y + m - m_P]) = 0.$$

Since the objects $i_g k(y)[m]$ form a spanning class in $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{A}_Y)$, this implies $P \simeq 0$ which is absurd. Hence, point like objects in $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{A}_Y)$ are exactly the objects of the form $i_g k(y)[m]$.

Now we prove that if $\mathscr{P}$ is an invertible $\mathscr{A}_X$-module then $F(\mathscr{P})$ is an invertible $\mathscr{A}_Y$-module up to shift functor.

Since

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{O}_X}(\mathrm{gr}_\hbar \mathscr{P}, k(x)[j]) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}_X}(\mathscr{P}, i_g k(x)[j]) \simeq \\ \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}_Y}(F(\mathscr{P}), F(i_g k(x))[j]) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}_Y}(F(\mathscr{P}), i_g k(y)[j+m]) \simeq \\ \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{O}_Y}(\mathrm{gr}_\hbar F(\mathscr{P}), k(y)[j+m]) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{O}_Y}(H^{-m}(\mathrm{gr}_\hbar F(\mathscr{P})), k(y)[j])$$

this implies that $\mathrm{gr}_\hbar F(\mathscr{P}) = L[m]$ for some line bundle L by Corollary 2.21, Proposition 4.5 and Proposition 4.7. Hence $F(\mathscr{P})[-m]$ is invertible, we get the result. □

Theorem 5.14. *Let* X *and* Y *be two projective varieties. Assume* $F : \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{O}_X^\hbar) \longrightarrow \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{O}_Y^\hbar)$ *is fully faithful, then there induces a fully faithful functor* $F' := \mathrm{gr}_\hbar \circ F \circ (\cdot)^{\mathrm{R}\hbar} : \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{O}_X) \longrightarrow \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{O}_Y)$.

Proof. We have

$$\mathrm{RHom}_{\mathscr{O}_Y}(\mathrm{gr}_\hbar F(\mathscr{M})^{\mathrm{R}\hbar}, \mathrm{gr}_\hbar F(\mathscr{N})^{\mathrm{R}\hbar}) \simeq \mathbb{C} \overset{\mathrm{L}}{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}^\hbar} \mathrm{RHom}_{\mathscr{A}_Y}(F(\mathscr{M})^{\mathrm{R}\hbar}, F(\mathscr{N})^{\mathrm{R}\hbar}) \simeq \\ \mathbb{C} \overset{\mathrm{L}}{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}^\hbar} \mathrm{RHom}_{\mathscr{A}_X}((\mathscr{M})^{\mathrm{R}\hbar}, (\mathscr{N})^{\mathrm{R}\hbar}) \simeq \mathrm{RHom}_{\mathscr{O}_X}(\mathrm{gr}_\hbar(\mathscr{M})^{\mathrm{R}\hbar}, \mathrm{gr}_\hbar(\mathscr{N})^{\mathrm{R}\hbar}) \simeq \\ \mathrm{RHom}_{\mathscr{O}_X}(\mathscr{M}, \mathscr{N}).$$

$\square$

Theorem 5.15. *Let* $F : \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{O}^{\hbar}_X) \longrightarrow \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{O}^{\hbar}_Y)$ *be an exact equivalence with* Ω_X *is (anti)-ample, then* $X \simeq Y$*. In particular,* Ω_Y *is (anti)-ample.*

Proof. We first assume that Ω_Y is ample. By Corollary 2.20 and Lemma 5.13, we may assume that $F(\mathscr{O}^{\hbar}_X) \simeq \mathscr{O}^{\hbar}_Y$. Since F is an equivalence, $\mathrm{dim}X = \mathrm{dim}Y = n$ by Proposition 5.7, this proves

$$F((\Omega^{\hbar}_X)^{\otimes k}) = F(S^k_X(\mathscr{O}^{\hbar}_X))[-kn] \simeq S^{\otimes k}_Y(F(\mathscr{O}^{\hbar}_X))[-kn] \simeq S^{\otimes k}_Y(\mathscr{O}^{\hbar}_Y)[-kn] = (\Omega^{\hbar}_Y)^{\otimes k}.$$

Using that F' is fully faithful by Theorem 5.14, we have

$$H^0(X, \Omega^{\otimes k}_X) = \mathrm{Hom}(\mathscr{O}_X, \Omega^{\otimes k}_X) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}(F'(\mathscr{O}_X), F'(\Omega^k_X)) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{O}_Y}(\mathscr{O}_Y, \Omega^{\otimes k}_Y) = H^0(Y, \Omega^{\otimes k}_Y).$$

The product in $\bigoplus H^0(X, \Omega^k_X)$ can be expressed in terms of compositions: namely, for $s_i \in H^0(X, \Omega^{k_i}_X) = \mathrm{Hom}(\mathscr{O}_X, \Omega^{k_i}_X)$ one has

$$s_1 \cdot s_2 = S^{k_1}_X(s_2)[-k_1 n] \circ s_1$$

and similarly for sections on Y.

Hence, the induced bijection

$$\bigoplus H^0(X, \Omega^k_X) \simeq \bigoplus H^0(Y, \Omega^k_X)$$

is a ring homomorphism. Since Y is also ample, then it shows

$$X \simeq \mathrm{Proj}(\bigoplus H^0(X, \Omega^k_X)) \simeq \mathrm{Proj}(\bigoplus H^0(Y, \Omega^k_Y)) \simeq Y.$$

Thus, under the assumption that Ω_Y (or Ω^*_Y is ample we have proved the assertion.

Finally, we shall remove the assumption of the ampleness of Ω_Y by proving that it is really a ample line bundle.

We continue to use that for any $k(y)$, with $y \in Y$ a closed point, there exists a closed point $x_y \in X$ with $F(i_g k(x_y)) = i_g k(y)$ (indeed, using $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathscr{O}_X, k(x_y)) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}(\mathscr{O}^{\hbar}_X, i_g k(x_y)) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}(\mathscr{O}^{\hbar}_Y, i_g k(y)[m]) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}(\mathscr{O}_Y, k(y)[m])$ would implies that $m = 0$) and that $F((\Omega^{\hbar}_X)^{\otimes k}) = (\Omega^{\hbar}_Y)^{\otimes k}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Now we show that $F'(k(x_y)) = k(y)$ and that $F'(\Omega^k_X) = \Omega^k_Y$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Indeed, for any $k(y)$, with $y \in Y$ a closed point, we have

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{gr}_{\hbar} F k(x_y)[[\hbar]], k(y)[i]) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}(F k(x_y)[[\hbar]], i_g k(y)[i]) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}(k(x_y)[[\hbar]], i_g k(x_y)[i]) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}(k(x_y), k(x_y)[i]).$$

Hence by Lemma 4.8, $\mathscr{F} := \mathrm{gr}_{\hbar} F k(x_y)[[\hbar]] = F' k(x_y)$ is concentrated in $y \in Y$. Hence $\mathrm{gr}_{\hbar} F k(x_y)[[\hbar]]$ is simple object in $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(X)$ with zero dimension support and $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathscr{F}, \mathscr{F}[i]) = 0$ for $i < 0$, then

$$\mathscr{F} \simeq k(y)$$

by Proposition 4.4.

Also, we get

$$\mathrm{Hom}(k(x_y), k(x_y)[1]) = \mathrm{Hom}(F'k(x_y), F'k(x_y)[1]) = \mathrm{Hom}(k(y), k(y[1]).$$

Now the proof for ampleness of Ω_Y is the same as [H] P. 97 and P. 98. $\square$

Corollary 5.16. *Let C be a curve of genus $g(C) \neq 1$ and let Y be a projective variety. If there exists an exact equivalence $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{O}^{\hbar}_C) \simeq \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{O}^{\hbar}_Y)$, then Y is a curve isomoprhic to C.*

We will prove the converse statement in the next section.

6. Proof of Theorem 1.4

We first review the notions of generators and compactness in triangulated categories.

Definition 6.1. Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a triangulated category. Let $\mathcal{I} = (C_i)_{i\in I}$ be a set of objects of $\mathcal{T}$. One says that $\mathcal{I}$ generates $\mathcal{T}$ if for every $D \in \mathcal{T}$ such that $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(C_i[n], D) = 0$ for every $C_i \in \mathcal{I}$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ then $D = 0$.

Definition 6.2. Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a triangulated category. Assume that $\mathcal{T}$ admits arbitrary small direct sums. An object T in $\mathcal{T}$ is compact if $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(T, \cdot)$ commutes with small direct sums. We denote by $\mathcal{T}^{\mathrm{c}}$ the full subcategory of $\mathcal{T}$ consisting of compact objects.

Let X be a variety, we denote by $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{qcoh}}(\mathscr{O}_X)$ the derived category of $\mathscr{O}_X$-modules with quasi-coherent cohomology sheaves and $\mathrm{Perf}(X)$ the category of perfect complex on X. Since X is smooth, we have $\mathrm{Perf}(X) = \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{O}_X)$.

Example 6.3.([BM] Theorem 3.3.1) For a variety X, we have $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{qcoh}}(\mathscr{O}_X)^{\mathrm{c}} = \mathrm{Perf}(X) = \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{O}_X)$.

Definition 6.4. A triaugulated category $\mathcal{T}$ is called compactly generated if it admits arbitrary small direct sums and generated by a set $\mathcal{I}$ of compact objects of $\mathcal{T}$.

Theorem 6.5. *(The Brown Representability Theorem ([N] Theorem 4.1)) Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a compactly generated trianglated category, $\mathcal{T}$ any triangulated category. Let $F : \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{T}$ be a triangulated functor. Suppose F commutes with small direct sums; that is , the natural map*

$$\bigoplus_{\lambda\in\Lambda} F(s_\lambda) \to F(\bigoplus_{\lambda\in\Lambda} s_\lambda)$$

is an isomorphism. Note that although we are not assuming that $\mathcal{T}$ has direct sums, we are assuming that the object on the right is a direct sum of the objects on the left. Then F has a right adjoint $G : \mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{S}$.

Example 6.6.([BM] Theorem 3.3.1) $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{qcoh}}(\mathscr{O}_X)$ is compactly generated by an object $\mathscr{M} \in \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{O}_X)$.

Now we begin to prove Theorem 1.4 assuming the following equivalence

$$K : \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{O}_X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{O}_Y).$$

For a scheme X, we denote by $\mathrm{D}(Qcoh(X))$ the derived category of quasi-coherent sheaves on X, $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(coh(X))$ the bounded derived category of coherent sheaves on X and $\mathrm{Perf}(X)$ the perfect complex on X.

Recall that for schemes X, Y and $\mathscr{E} \in \mathrm{D}(Qcoh(X \times Y))$, we have the following Fourier-Mukai transform,

$$\Phi_{\mathscr{E}}(-) := \mathrm{R}p_2(\mathscr{E} \overset{\mathrm{L}}{\otimes} p_1^*(-)) : \mathrm{D}(Qcoh(X)) \to \mathrm{D}(Qcoh(Y))$$

where p_i denote by projection for $i = 1, 2$.

We have the following results.

Theorem 6.7. *([LO] Corollary 9.13) Let X be a quasi-projective scheme and Y be a quasi-compact and separated scheme. Let $F : \mathrm{Perf}(X) \to \mathrm{D}(QcohY)$ be a fully faithful functor. Then there is an object $\mathscr{E} \in \mathrm{D}(Qcoh(X \times Y))$ such that*

1. *the functor $\Phi_{\mathscr{E}}|_{\mathrm{Perf}(X)} : \mathrm{Perf}(X) \to D(QcohY)$ is fully faithful and $\Phi_{\mathscr{E}}(P) \simeq K(P)$ for any $P \in \mathrm{Perf}(X)$*
2. *if F sends $\mathrm{Perf}(X)$ to $\mathrm{Perf}(Y)$ then the functor $\Phi_{\mathscr{E}} : \mathrm{D}(QcohX) \to \mathrm{D}(QcohY)$ is fully faithful and also sends $\mathrm{Perf}(X)$ to $\mathrm{Perf}(Y)$;*
3. *if Y is noetherian and F sends $\mathrm{Perf}(X)$ to $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(QcohY)_{\mathrm{coh}}$, then the object $\mathscr{E}$ is isomorphic to an object of $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(coh(X \times Y))$.*

Now we assume that X and Y are smooth varieties. Recall that we have the following equivalences:

$$\mathrm{D}(QcohX) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{qcoh}}(\mathscr{O}_X) \text{ and } \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(cohX) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{O}_X).$$

Corollary 6.8. *By Theorem 6.7, there exists $\mathscr{E} \in \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{O}_{X\times Y})$ such that*

$$\Phi_{\mathscr{E}} : \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{qcoh}}(\mathscr{O}_X) \longrightarrow \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{qcoh}}(\mathscr{O}_Y)$$

is fully faithful and $\Phi_{\mathscr{E}}(P) \simeq K(P)$ for $P \in \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{O}_X)$.

Definition 6.9. We say $\mathscr{M} \in \mathrm{D}(\mathscr{O}_X^{\hbar})$ is quasi-coherent if $\mathrm{gr}_{\hbar}\mathscr{M} \in \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{qcoh}}(\mathscr{O}_X)$.

We denote by $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{qcc}}(\mathscr{O}_X^{\hbar})$ the triangulated subcategory of $\mathrm{D}(\mathscr{O}_X^{\hbar})$ consisting of cohomologically complete and quasi-coherent $\mathscr{O}_X^{\hbar}$-modules.

Proposition 6.10. *The category $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{qcc}}(\mathscr{O}_X^{\hbar})$ is $\mathbb{C}^{\hbar}$-linear triangulated subcategory of $\mathrm{D}(\mathscr{O}_X^{\hbar})$.*

Corollary 6.11. *$\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{qcc}}(\mathscr{O}_X^{\hbar})$ is compactly generated by $\mathscr{M}^{\mathrm{R}\hbar}$ for some $\mathscr{M} \in \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{O}_X)$.*

Proof. Use Proposition 2.10 and Example 6.6. ☐

Proposition 6.12. *Let* $\mathscr{M} \in \mathrm{D}(\mathscr{O}_X^\hbar)$ *be a cohomologically complete object and* $a \in \mathbb{Z}$. *If* $H^i(\mathrm{gr}_\hbar(\mathscr{M})) = 0$ *for any* $i < a$, *then* $H^i(\mathscr{M}) = 0$ *for any* $i < a$.

Proposition 6.13. *([KS] Theorem 1.6.4) If* $\mathscr{M} \in \mathrm{D}^+_{\mathrm{qcc}}(\mathscr{O}_X^\hbar)$ *is such that* $\mathrm{gr}_\hbar\mathscr{M} \in \mathrm{D}^+_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{O}_X)$, *then* $\mathscr{M} \in \mathrm{D}^+_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{O}_X^\hbar)$.

Definition 6.14. We denote by $(\cdot)^{cc}$ the functor

$$\mathrm{R}\mathscr{H}om_{\mathscr{O}_X^\hbar}((\mathscr{O}_X^{\hbar,\mathrm{loc}}/\mathscr{O}_X^\hbar)[-1], \cdot) : \mathrm{D}(\mathscr{O}_X^\hbar) \to \mathrm{D}(\mathscr{O}_X^\hbar).$$

Corollary 6.15. *([P] Corollary 3.2.7) For every* $\mathscr{M} \in \mathrm{D}(\mathscr{O}_X^\hbar)$,

$$\mathrm{gr}_\hbar\mathscr{M}^{\mathrm{cc}} \simeq \mathrm{gr}_\hbar\mathscr{M}.$$

Definition 6.16. Let $(\mathscr{M}_i)_{i\in I}$ be a family of objects of $\mathrm{D}_{cc}(\mathscr{O}_X^\hbar)$. We set

$$\overline{\bigoplus_{i\in I}}\mathscr{M}_i = (\bigoplus_{i\in I}\mathscr{M}_i)^{cc}$$

where $\bigoplus$ denote the direct sum in the category $\mathrm{D}(\mathscr{O}_X^\hbar)$.

Proposition 6.17. *([P] Propostion 3.2.9) The category* $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{cc}}(\mathscr{O}_X^\hbar)$ *admits direct sums. The direct sum of the family* $(\mathscr{M}_i)_{i\in I}$ *is given by* $\overline{\bigoplus_{i\in I}}\mathscr{M}_i$.

Proposition 6.18. *([P] Propostion 3.2.10) The category* $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{qcc}}(\mathscr{O}_X^\hbar)$ *admits direct sums. The direct sum of the family* $(\mathscr{M}_i)_{i\in I}$ *is given by* $\overline{\bigoplus_{i\in I}}\mathscr{M}_i$.

Proposition 6.19. *([BM] Theorem 3.3.3) Let* X *be a quasi-compact, separated scheme and let* Y *be a scheme. Let* $f : X \to Y$ *be a separated morphism. Let* $Rf_* : \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{qcoh}}(\mathscr{O}_X) \to \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{qcoh}}(\mathscr{O}_Y)$ *be the direct image functor. Then the natural map*

$$\bigoplus_{i\in I} Rf_*(\mathscr{M}_i) \to Rf_*(\bigoplus_{i\in I}\mathscr{M}_i)$$

is an isomorphism; that is, Rf_* *commutes with direct sums.*

Corollary 6.20. *([KS] 1.5.12) Let* $f : X \to Y$ *be a morphism of varieties and let* $\mathscr{M} \in \mathrm{D}(f^{-1}\mathscr{O}_Y^\hbar)$ *then*

$$\mathrm{R}f_*\mathscr{M}^{\mathrm{cc}} \simeq (\mathrm{R}f_*\mathscr{M})^{\mathrm{cc}} \text{ in } \mathrm{D}(\mathscr{O}_Y^\hbar).$$

Define a functor

$$\Phi_{\mathscr{E}^{\mathrm{R}\hbar}} : \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{qcc}}(\mathscr{O}_X^\hbar) \to \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{qcc}}(\mathscr{O}_Y^\hbar)$$

by $\Phi_{\mathscr{E}^{\mathrm{R}\hbar}}(\mathscr{M}) := Rp_{2*}(\mathscr{E}^{\mathrm{R}\hbar} \overset{\mathrm{L}}{\otimes}_{p_1{}^{-1}\mathscr{O}_X^\hbar} p_1{}^{-1}\mathscr{M})^{\mathrm{cc}}$.

Proposition 6.21. *The functor* $\Phi_{\mathscr{E}^{\mathrm{R}\hbar}}$ *commutes with direct sums, i.e., the following natural morphism is an isomorphism:*

$$(\bigoplus Rp_{2*}(\mathscr{E}^{\mathrm{R}\hbar} \overset{\mathrm{L}}{\otimes}_{p_1^{-1}\mathscr{O}_X^\hbar} p_1^{-1}\mathscr{M}_i))^{cc} \longrightarrow (Rp_{2*}(\bigoplus(\mathscr{E}^{\mathrm{R}\hbar} \overset{\mathrm{L}}{\otimes}_{p_1^{-1}\mathscr{O}_X^\hbar} p_1^{-1}\mathscr{M}_i)))^{cc} \xrightarrow{\sim}$$
$$Rp_{2*}(\bigoplus(\mathscr{E}^{\mathrm{R}\hbar} \overset{\mathrm{L}}{\otimes}_{p_1^{-1}\mathscr{O}_X^\hbar} p_1^{-1}\mathscr{M}_i))^{cc} \longrightarrow Rp_{2*}(\mathscr{E}^{\mathrm{R}\hbar} \overset{\mathrm{L}}{\otimes}_{p_1^{-1}\mathscr{O}_X^\hbar} p_1^{-1}(\bigoplus \mathscr{M}_i)^{\mathrm{cc}})^{\mathrm{cc}}.$$

Proof. The first arrow is the natural morphism. The second isomorphism follows from Corollary 6.20. Finally we have the following morphisms

$$Rp_{2*}(\mathscr{E}^{\mathrm{R}\hbar} \overset{\mathrm{L}}{\otimes}_{p_1^{-1}\mathscr{O}_X^\hbar} p_1^{-1}(\bigoplus \mathscr{M}_i)^{\mathrm{cc}})^{\mathrm{cc}} \simeq$$
$$Rp_{2*}\mathrm{R}\mathscr{H}om_{p_2^{-1}\mathscr{O}_Y^\hbar}(p_2^{-1}\mathscr{O}_Y^{\hbar,\mathrm{loc}}/\mathscr{O}_Y^\hbar[-1], \mathscr{E}^{\mathrm{R}\hbar} \overset{\mathrm{L}}{\otimes}_{p_1^{-1}\mathscr{O}_X^\hbar}$$
$$p_1^{-1}\mathrm{R}\mathscr{H}om_{\mathscr{O}_X^\hbar}(\mathscr{O}_X^{\hbar,\mathrm{loc}}/\mathscr{O}_X^\hbar[-1], \bigoplus \mathscr{M}_i))$$

and

$$Rp_{2*}(\bigoplus(\mathscr{E}^{\mathrm{R}\hbar} \overset{\mathrm{L}}{\otimes}_{p_1^{-1}\mathscr{O}_X^\hbar} p_1^{-1}\mathscr{M}_i))^{\mathrm{cc}} \simeq$$
$$Rp_{2*}\mathrm{R}\mathscr{H}om_{p_2^{-1}\mathscr{O}_Y^\hbar}(p_2^{-1}\mathscr{O}_Y^{\hbar,\mathrm{loc}}/\mathscr{O}_Y^\hbar[-1], (\mathscr{E}^{\mathrm{R}\hbar} \overset{\mathrm{L}}{\otimes}_{p_1^{-1}\mathscr{O}_X^\hbar} p_1^{-1} \bigoplus \mathscr{M}_i)).$$

From the natural morphism

$$\bigoplus(\mathscr{M}_i)^{\mathrm{cc}} \to (\bigoplus \mathscr{M}_i)^{\mathrm{cc}} \text{ and } \mathscr{M}_i \simeq (\mathscr{M}_i)^{\mathrm{cc}},$$

we get the following morphism

$$Rp_{2*}(\bigoplus(\mathscr{E}^{\mathrm{R}\hbar} \overset{\mathrm{L}}{\otimes}_{p_1^{-1}\mathscr{O}_X^\hbar} p_1^{-1}\mathscr{M}_i))^{\mathrm{cc}} \to Rp_{2*}(\mathscr{E}^{\mathrm{R}\hbar} \overset{\mathrm{L}}{\otimes}_{p_1^{-1}\mathscr{O}_X^\hbar} p_1^{-1}(\bigoplus \mathscr{M}_i)^{\mathrm{cc}})^{\mathrm{cc}}.$$

Taking grade functor and use Corollary 6.15 and Proposition 6.19, we get

$$\overline{\bigoplus}(\Phi_{\mathscr{E}^{\mathrm{R}\hbar}}\mathscr{M}_i) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Phi_{\mathscr{E}^{\mathrm{R}\hbar}}(\overline{\bigoplus}\mathscr{M}_i).$$

□

Proposition 6.22. *The functor* $\Phi_{\mathscr{E}^{\mathrm{R}\hbar}}(\mathscr{M}) := (Rp_{2*}(\mathscr{E}^{\mathrm{R}\hbar} \overset{\mathrm{L}}{\otimes}_{p_1{}^{-1}\mathscr{O}_X^\hbar} p_1{}^{-1}\mathscr{M})^{cc})$ *is fully faithful sending* $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{O}_X^\hbar)$ *to* $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{O}_Y^\hbar)$ *and has right adjoint functor.*

Proof. Consider the following morphism

$$\mathrm{RHom}(\mathscr{M}, \mathscr{N}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{RHom}(\Phi_{\mathscr{E}^{\mathrm{R}\hbar}}\mathscr{M}, \Phi_{\mathscr{E}^{\mathrm{R}\hbar}}\mathscr{N}).$$

Taking grade functor, and using $\Phi_{\mathscr{E}}$ is fully faithful, we get $\Phi_{\mathscr{E}^{\mathrm{R}\hbar}}$ is fully faithful. Now use Theorem 6.5, Proposition 6.13 and Proposition 6.21 and the finiteness of convolution. □

Proposition 6.23. *Let* $F : \mathcal{D} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}'$ *be a fully faithful exact functor between triangulated categories and suppose that* F *has a right adjoint* $F \dashv H$*. Then* F *is an equivalence if and only if for any* $C \in \mathcal{D}'$ *the triviality of* $H(C)$*, i.e.* $H(C) \simeq 0$ *implies* $C \simeq 0$*.*

Proposition 6.24. *The following functor*

$$\Phi_{\mathscr{E}^{\mathrm{R}\hbar}} : \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{qcc}}(\mathscr{O}_X^\hbar) \to \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{qcc}}(\mathscr{O}_Y^\hbar)$$

is an equivalence.

Proof. Fully faithfulness of $\Phi_{\mathscr{E}^{\mathrm{R}\hbar}}$ is proved in Proposition 6.22.
Now we prove the surjectivity. Denote by G the right adjoint functor of $\Phi_{\mathscr{E}^{\mathrm{R}\hbar}}$. Then for $\mathscr{M} \in \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{qcc}}(\mathscr{O}_X^\hbar)$ and $\mathscr{N} \in \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{qcc}}(\mathscr{O}_Y^\hbar)$, we have

$$\mathrm{RHom}(\Phi_{\mathscr{E}^{\mathrm{R}\hbar}}\mathscr{M}, \mathscr{N}) \simeq \mathrm{RHom}(\mathscr{M}, G\mathscr{N}).$$

If $G\mathscr{N} \simeq 0$, then $\mathrm{RHom}(\mathrm{gr}_\hbar \Phi_{\mathscr{E}^{\mathrm{R}\hbar}}\mathscr{M}, \mathrm{gr}_\hbar \mathscr{N}) \simeq \mathrm{RHom}(\Phi_{\mathscr{E}} \mathrm{gr}_\hbar \mathscr{M}, \mathrm{gr}_\hbar \mathscr{N}) = 0$. Denote by K' the inverse functor of K. Let $\mathscr{M} = (K'\mathscr{M}')^{\mathrm{R}\hbar}$ with $\mathscr{M}' \in \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{O}_Y)$ which implies that $\mathrm{gr}_\hbar \mathscr{N} \simeq 0$ by example 6.6, then $\mathscr{N} \simeq 0$ since $\mathscr{N}$ is cohomologically complete.

This proves the equivalence

$$\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{qcc}}(\mathscr{O}_X^\hbar) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{qcc}}(\mathscr{O}_Y^\hbar)$$

by Proposition 6.23. ☐

Corollary 6.25. *The equivalence functor* $\Phi_{\mathscr{E}^{\mathrm{R}\hbar}}$ *induces the following equivalence (we keep the same notation)*

$$\Phi_{\mathscr{E}^{\mathrm{R}\hbar}} : \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{O}_X^\hbar) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{O}_Y^\hbar).$$

Proof. By Propositioin 6.24, we only need to prove the surjectivity. Let $\mathscr{N} \in \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{O}_Y^\hbar)$. Then there exists $\mathscr{M} \in \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{qcc}}(\mathscr{O}_X^\hbar)$ such that $\Phi_{\mathscr{E}^{\mathrm{R}\hbar}}(\mathscr{M}) \simeq \mathscr{N}$, taking grade functor we get $\Phi_{\mathscr{E}}(\mathrm{gr}_\hbar \mathscr{M}) \simeq \mathrm{gr}_\hbar \mathscr{N} \simeq K(\mathscr{F}) \simeq \Phi_{\mathscr{E}}(\mathscr{F})$ by the equivalence of K for some $\mathscr{F} \in \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{O}_X)$. Since $\Phi_{\mathscr{E}}$ is an equivalence, this implies that $\mathrm{gr}_\hbar \mathscr{M} \simeq \mathscr{F} \in \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{O}_X)$ and hence $\mathscr{M} \in \mathrm{D}^{+}_{\mathrm{qcc}}(\mathscr{O}_X^\hbar)$ by Proposition 6.12. Since $\mathrm{gr}_\hbar \mathscr{M} \in \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{O}_X)$, $\mathscr{M} \in \mathrm{D}^{+}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{O}_X^\hbar)$ by Proposition 6.13. Hence by Lemma 2.1 and Nakayama's Lemma, we get $\mathscr{M} \in \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{O}_X^\hbar)$. Thus, $\Phi_{\mathscr{E}^{\mathrm{R}\hbar}}(\mathscr{M}) \simeq \mathscr{N}$ as desired. ☐

References

[BM] A. Bondal, M. van den Bergh, *Generators and representability of functors in commutative and noncommutative geometry.* Mosc. Math. J., 3(1):1-36.

[BO] A. Bondal, D. Orlov, *Reconstruction of a variety from the derived category and groups of autoequivalences.* Compositio Math. 125 (2001), 327-344.

[C] Hou-Yi Chen, *GAGA for DQ-algebroids*, Rend. Semin. Mat. Univ. Padova (2010) Vol 123, 211-231.

[DGS] A. D'Agnole, S. Guillermou, P. Schapira, *Regular holonomic* $\mathscr{D}[[\hbar]]$*-modules*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. 47 no. 1 (2011), 221-255

[H] D. Huybrechts, *Fourier-Mukai transforms in algebraic variety*, Oxford mathematical Monographs, Oxford, (2006).

[KS] M. Kashiwara and P. Schapira, *Deformation quantization modules*, arXiv:1003.3004v2, Asterisque, Soc. Math. France, (2012).

[LO] V. A. Lunts and D. Orlov *Uniqueness of enhancement for triangulated categories*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 23 (2010), 853-908.

[N] A. Neeman, *The Grothendieck duality theorem via Bousfield's techniques and Brown representability*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 9 (1996), 205-236.

[O] D. Orlov, *Equivalences of derived categories and K3 surfaces*, J. Math. Sci. 84 (1997), 1361-1381.

[P] F. Petit, *DG affinity of DQ-modules*, arXiv:1103.0253v2, to appear in I.M.R.N.